

UNITED STATE EPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

					5 , = 15. = 5.	.1 11
	APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INV	ENTOR		ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
	09/601,414	08/01/0	0 YOSHIKAWA		М	1344-00
	022469 IM62/0529 SCHNADER HARRISON SEGAL & LEWIS, LLP 1600 MARKET STREET SUITE 3600			7	EXAMINER	
					GRIF ART UNIT	FIN, W PAPER NUMBER
	PHILADELPH		3		1764	4
					DATE MAILED	: 05/29/01

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Λ

	Application No.	Applicant(s)						
	09/601,414	YOSHIKAWA ET AL.						
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit						
	Walter D. Griffin	1764						
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply								
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 (a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply sepecified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status								
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>01</u>	<u>August 2000</u> .							
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ Th	his action is non-final.							
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Disposition of Claims								
4) Claim(s) 1-5 is/are pending in the application.	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-5</u> is/are pending in the application.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-5</u> is/are rejected.								
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.								
8) Claims are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.								
Application Papers								
9) The specification is objected to by the Examin	ner.							
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are objected to by the Examiner.								
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved.								
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.								
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119								
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).								
a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:								
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.								
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No								
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).								
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.								
14) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).								
Attachment(s)								
 15) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 16) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 17) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 	19) Notice of Informal	ry (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)						

Art Unit: 1764

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Objections

Claims 4 and 5 are objected to under 37 CFR 1.75(c) as being in improper form because a multiple dependent claim cannot depend from any other multiple dependent claim. See MPEP § 608.01(n).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- (e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

Claims 1-3 and 5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Davis et al. (6,043,179).

The Davis reference discloses a process for converting aromatic compounds by contacting the compounds with a CIT-5 zeolite catalyst. The CIT-5 zeolite has the claimed characteristics. Aromatic compounds to be converted include tri- and tetra-alkyl aromatic hydrocarbons. See col. 15, line 22 through col. 16, line 27.

Claims 1-3 and 5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Zones et al. (5,215,648).

Art Unit: 1764

The Zones reference discloses a process for converting aromatic compounds by contacting the compounds with an SSZ-31 zeolite catalyst. The SSZ-31 zeolite has the claimed characteristics. Aromatic compounds to be converted include tri- and tetra-alkyl aromatic hydrocarbons. See col. 16, lines 4-58.

Claims 1-3 and 5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by WO 97/46486.

The WO 97/46486 reference discloses a process for converting aromatic compounds by contacting the compounds with a UTD-1 zeolite catalyst. The UTD-1 zeolite has the claimed characteristics. Aromatic compounds to be converted include tri- and tetra-alkyl aromatic hydrocarbons. See page 22, line 26 through page 23, line 21.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham v. John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

- 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
- 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
- 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
- 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

Art Unit: 1764

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Claim 4 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Davis et al. (6,043,179) or Zones et al. (5,215,648) or WO 97/46486.

None of the previously discussed references disclose the conversion of an aromatic compound in which at least one substituent is a halogen.

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified the processes of any of the previously discussed references by converting a halogen-containing aromatic compound because these compounds would have similar physical and chemical properties as compared to the disclosed aromatic compounds and therefore would be expected to be effectively converted in the processes disclosed in the references.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Walter D. Griffin whose telephone number is 703-305-3774. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 6:30 to 4:00 with alternate Fridays off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Marian Knode can be reached on 703-308-4311. The fax phone numbers for the

Art Unit: 1764

î

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-305-5408 for regular communications and 703-305-3599 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0651.

Walter D. Griffin Primary Examiner Art Unit 1764

WG May 23, 2001